



HAL
open science

Large deviations results for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations

Vahagn Nersesyan

► **To cite this version:**

Vahagn Nersesyan. Large deviations results for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. Séminaire Laurent Schwartz - EDP et applications, 2016, pp.1-10. 10.5802/slsedp.112 . hal-02173700

HAL Id: hal-02173700

<https://hal.uvsq.fr/hal-02173700>


Submitted on 9 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Séminaire Laurent Schwartz

EDP et applications

Année 2016-2017


Vahagn Nersesyan

Large deviations results for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations

Séminaire Laurent Schwartz — EDP et applications (2016-2017), Exposé n° XVII, 10 p.

http://sisedp.cedram.org/item?id=SLSEDP_2016-2017____A17_0

© Institut des hautes études scientifiques & Centre de mathématiques Laurent Schwartz,
École polytechnique, 2016-2017.

 Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>

Institut des hautes études scientifiques
Le Bois-Marie • Route de Chartres
F-91440 BURES-SUR-YVETTE
<http://www.ihes.fr/>

Centre de mathématiques Laurent Schwartz
CMLS, École polytechnique, CNRS, Université
Paris-Saclay
F-91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX
<http://www.math.polytechnique.fr/>

cedram

Exposé mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Large deviations results for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations

Vahagn Nersesyan *

Abstract

In this note, we discuss the problem of large deviations for the stochastic 2D Navier–Stokes equations. We show that the occupation measures of the trajectories of the system satisfy a large deviations principle, provided that the noise acts on all Fourier modes. In the case when the noise is more degenerate and acts on all the determining modes, we obtain an LDP of local type. The proofs use the methods introduced in [13, 20] based on a Kifer-type sufficient condition for LDP and a multiplicative ergodic theorem.

AMS subject classifications: 35Q30, 60B12, 60F10

Keywords: Navier–Stokes system, white-in-time noise, large deviations principle, occupation measures, coupling

0 Introduction

In this note, we review the results of the paper [21], where the large deviations principle (LDP) is studied for the stochastic 2D Navier–Stokes (NS) equations driven by a white-in-time noise

$$\partial_t u + \langle u, \nabla \rangle u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f(t, x), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad u|_{\partial D} = 0, \quad (0.1)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in D. \quad (0.2)$$

This system describes the motion of an incompressible fluid in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with a smooth boundary ∂D , where $\nu > 0$ is the kinematic viscosity, $u = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x))$ and $p = p(t, x)$ are unknown velocity field and pressure of the fluid, f is the external (random) force, and $\langle u, \nabla \rangle = u_1 \partial_1 + u_2 \partial_2$.

Before stating our results, let us make some comments about this system and recall some previous results on its ergodicity. The system is considered in the usual space of divergence-free vector fields

$$H = \{u \in L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^2) : \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } D, \langle u, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\},$$

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR CNRS 8100, UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, 45 Avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035, Versailles, France; e-mail: Vahagn.Nersesyan@math.uvsq.fr

where \mathbf{n} stands for the outward unit normal to ∂D . By projecting (0.1) to H , we eliminate the pressure and obtain an evolution equation for the velocity field¹ (e.g., see Section 6 in Chapter 1 of [19])

$$\dot{u} + B(u) + Lu = \Pi f(t, x), \quad (0.3)$$

where $L = -\Pi\Delta$ is the Stokes operator, $B(u) = \Pi(\langle u, \nabla \rangle u)$, and Π is the orthogonal projection onto H in L^2 (Leray projector).

Structure of the noise. We assume that f is of the form

$$f(t, x) = h(x) + \eta(t, x),$$

where the functions h and η are, respectively, the deterministic and random components of the external force with $h \in H$ and

$$\eta(t, x) = \partial_t W(t, x), \quad W(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \beta_j(t) e_j(x). \quad (0.4)$$

Here $\{b_j\}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 < \infty$, $\{\beta_j\}$ is a sequence of independent standard Brownian motions defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual conditions (see Definition 2.25 in [14]), and $\{e_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H consisting of the eigenfunctions of L with eigenvalues $\{\alpha_j\}$.

Under these conditions, problem (0.3), (0.2) admits a unique solution and defines a Markov family (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) parametrised by the initial condition $u = u_0 \in H$. The corresponding Markov semigroups are given by²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}_t : C_b(H) &\rightarrow C_b(H), & \mathfrak{P}_t f(u) &= \int_H f(v) P_t(u, dv), \\ \mathfrak{P}_t^* : \mathcal{P}(H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H), & \mathfrak{P}_t^* \sigma(\Gamma) &= \int_H P_t(v, \Gamma) \sigma(dv), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_t(u, \Gamma) = \mathbb{P}_u\{u_t \in \Gamma\}$ is the transition function. Recall that a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is *stationary* if $\mathfrak{P}_t^* \mu = \mu$ for any $t > 0$. The existence of a stationary measure is a relatively simple question, it is proved by using the classical Bogolyubov–Krylov argument. The uniqueness and the mixing are much more difficult problems which have been extensively studied in recent years. We refer the reader to the papers [7, 16, 6, 17, 2, 11, 22] and the book [18] for a detailed discussion of this topic. In particular, as it is stated in the following theorem, if η is sufficiently non-degenerate, then the family (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) admits a unique stationary measure, which is exponentially mixing (see Theorem 3.5.2 in [18]).

¹To simplify the notation, we shall assume that $\nu = 1$.

² $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is the set of probability Borel measures on H endowed with the topology of the weak convergence.

Theorem 0.1. *Under the above conditions, there is an integer $N = N(\nu, \mathfrak{B}_0, \|h\|)$ such that if*

$$b_j \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (0.5)$$

then the Markov process (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) admits a unique stationary measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Moreover, μ is exponentially mixing, i.e., there are constants $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathfrak{P}_t^* \sigma - \mu\|_L^* \leq C e^{-\alpha t} \left(1 + \int_H \|u\|^2 \sigma(du) \right)$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and $t \geq 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_L^$ is the dual-Lipschitz norm.*

This exponential mixing property has many important consequences, such as the strong law of large numbers (SLLN), the law of the iterated logarithm, the central limit theorem, etc. (see Chapter 4 in [18]).

Our goal is to study the large-time behavior of the probabilities of large deviations of trajectories from the stationary measure μ . To be more precise, recall that by the SLLN,

$$\mathbb{P}_u \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u_s) ds \rightarrow \langle f, \mu \rangle \right\} = 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

for any Hölder-continuous function $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This limit implies that for any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle f, \mu \rangle \notin \overline{O}$, we have the following limit

$$\mathbb{P}_u \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u_s) ds \in O \right\} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The large deviations results presented in this note give, in particular, a characterisation of the rate of this convergence: they provide an asymptotic formula of the form

$$\mathbb{P}_u \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u_s) ds \in O \right\} = \exp(-ct + o(t)), \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

with a constant $c = c(f, O) \geq 0$ that can be expressed in terms of the rate function I that governs the LDP.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the ANR grant NONSTOPS ANR-17-CE40-0006-02 and CNRS PICS grant Fluctuation theorems in stochastic systems.

1 Statement of the result

Given a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, we set $\mathbb{P}_\nu(\Gamma) = \int_H \mathbb{P}_u(\Gamma) \nu(du)$ for any Borel subset $\Gamma \subset H$ and introduce the following family of *occupation measures*

$$\zeta_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{u_s} ds, \quad t > 0$$

defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_\nu)$. Here δ_u is the Dirac measure concentrated at $u \in H$. We shall say that a mapping $I : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a *good rate function* if the level set $\{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H) : I(\sigma) \leq \alpha\}$ is compact for any $\alpha \geq 0$. For any numbers $\varkappa > 0$ and $M > 0$, we denote

$$\Lambda(\varkappa, M) = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}(H) : \int_H e^{\varkappa \|v\|^2} \nu(dv) \leq M \right\}.$$

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j b_j^2 < \infty$, $b_j > 0$ for all $j \geq 1$, and $h \in H^1 := H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H$. Then, there is $\varkappa > 0$ such that for any $M > 0$, the family $\{\zeta_t, t > 0\}$ satisfies an LDP, uniformly with respect to $\nu \in \Lambda(\varkappa, M)$, with a good rate function $I : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ not depending on ν . More precisely, the following two bounds hold*

Upper bound. *For any closed subset $F \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$, we have*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \sup_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mathbb{P}_\nu \{\zeta_t \in F\} \leq - \inf_{\sigma \in F} I(\sigma).$$

Lower bound. *For any open subset $G \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$, we have*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \inf_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mathbb{P}_\nu \{\zeta_t \in G\} \geq - \inf_{\sigma \in G} I(\sigma).$$

Furthermore, I is given by

$$I(\sigma) = \sup_{V \in C_b(H)} (\langle V, \sigma \rangle - Q(V)), \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H),$$

where $Q : C_b(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a 1-Lipschitz convex function such that $Q(C) = C$ for any $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

This type of large deviations results have been first obtained by Donsker and Varadhan [5] and later extended by many others (see the books [8, 4, 3] and the references therein). The LDP is well understood in the case of finite-dimensional diffusions and Markov processes with compact phase space, provided that the randomness is sufficiently non-degenerate and ensures mixing in the total variation norm. In the context of randomly forced PDE's, the problem of large deviations is mostly considered in the case of vanishing random perturbations and provides estimates for the probabilities of deviations from solutions of the limiting deterministic equations. For the stochastic Burgers and NS equations, the large deviations from a stationary measure is first considered in [9, 10]. In these papers, the force is assumed to be of the form (0.4) with the following condition on the coefficients:

$$c_j^{-\alpha} \leq b_j \leq C j^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1, \quad \varepsilon \in \left(0, \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right]. \quad (1.1)$$

Note that the lower bound in this condition does not allow the coefficients b_j to converge to zero sufficiently fast. So the external force f is *irregular* with respect to the space variable, which is not very natural from the physical point of view. The proof is based on a general sufficient condition established in [23], and it essentially uses the *strong Feller property*. The main novelty of Theorem 1.1 is that it proves LDP without a lower bound on the coefficients b_j as in (1.1) (so we do not have a strong Feller property).

We use the methods introduced in the papers [12, 13], where the LDP is established for a family of dissipative PDE's with parabolic regularisation and a perturbation which is a *regular* random kick force. The proofs of these papers are based on an extension of Kifer's criterion for non-compact spaces and a result on large-time behaviour of generalised Markov semigroups. These results have been later extended in [20] to the case of the stochastic damped nonlinear wave equation (NLW) driven by a spatially regular white noise. The main result of that paper is an LDP of local type.

2 Scheme of the proof

Let us briefly present the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1: Reduction. The proof is derived from the following three properties.

Property 1. For any $V \in C_b(H)$ and $\sigma \in \Lambda(\varkappa, M)$, the following limit exists (called *pressure function*)

$$Q(V) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_\sigma \exp \left(\int_0^t V(u_s) ds \right), \quad (2.1)$$

it does not depend on the initial measure, and is uniform in $\sigma \in \Lambda(\varkappa, M)$. Here \mathbb{E}_σ denotes the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}_σ .

If this property is satisfied, then $Q : C_b(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex 1-Lipschitz function, and its *Legendre transform* is given by

$$I(\sigma) := \begin{cases} \sup_{V \in C_b(H)} (\langle V, \sigma \rangle - Q(V)) & \text{for } \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H), \\ +\infty & \text{for } \sigma \in \mathcal{M}(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}(H), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(H)$ is the vector space of signed Borel measures on H with finite total mass. The function $I : \mathcal{M}(H) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is convex lower semicontinuous in the weak topology, and Q can be reconstructed by the formula

$$Q(V) = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H)} (\langle V, \sigma \rangle - I(\sigma)) \quad \text{for any } V \in C_b(H).$$

We shall say that a measure $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is an *equilibrium state* for $V \in C_b(H)$ if it verifies the following equality

$$Q(V) = \langle V, \sigma \rangle - I(\sigma).$$

Property 2. There is a vector space $\mathcal{V} \subset C_b(H)$ such that, for any compact set $K \subset H$, the family of restrictions to K of the functions in \mathcal{V} is dense in $C(K)$, and for any $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a unique equilibrium state $\sigma_V \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

Property 3. There is a function $\Phi : H \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ with compact level sets $\{u \in H : \Phi(u) \leq \alpha\}$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\sigma \exp\left(\int_0^t \Phi(u_s) ds\right) \leq Ce^{ct}, \quad \sigma \in \Lambda(\delta, M), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for some positive constants C and c .

Properties 1-3 ensure that the conditions of Kifer's criterion are satisfied, which immediately implies the LDP. Here we use a non-compact version of the criterion, which is established in Theorem 3.3 in [13] (see Theorem 2.1 in [15] for Kifer's original result in the compact case). The main part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the verification of these three properties.

Step 2: Proof of Properties 1-3. Property 3 is the easiest one. Using some standard a priori estimates for the stochastic NS system, one can see that (2.2) holds with $\Phi(u) = \varkappa \|u\|_1^2$ if the number $\varkappa > 0$ is sufficiently small. The function Φ has compact level sets, since it is continuous on H^1 and the embedding $H^1 \subset H$ is compact.

Properties 1 and 2 are derived from a *multiplicative ergodic theorem*. In order to state that result, let us introduce some notation. Let us define the following two *weight functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{w}_m(u) &= 1 + \|u\|^{2m}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_\varkappa(u) &= \exp(\varkappa \|u\|^2), \quad u \in H \end{aligned}$$

for any numbers $m > 0$ and $\varkappa > 0$. To avoid triple subscripts, we shall write $C_m(H)$ and $\mathcal{P}_m(H)$ instead of $C_{m,\varkappa}(H)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{m,\varkappa}(H)$. Recall that the *Feynman-Kac semigroup* associated with (0.3), (0.4) is defined by

$$\mathfrak{P}_t^V f(u) = \mathbb{E}_u \left\{ f(u_t) \exp\left(\int_0^t V(u_s) ds\right) \right\}.$$

For sufficiently small \varkappa and for any $V \in C_b(H)$, the application \mathfrak{P}_t^V maps $C_m(H)$ into itself. Let $\mathfrak{P}_t^{V*} : \mathcal{M}_+(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_+(H)$ be its dual. A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is an *eigenvector* if there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{P}_t^{V*} \mu = \lambda^t \mu$ for any $t > 0$. Similarly, a function $h \in C_m(H)$ is an eigenvector if $\mathfrak{P}_t^V h = \lambda^t h$. Let \mathcal{V} be the set of functions $V \in C_b(H)$ of the form $V(u) = F(\mathbb{P}_N u)$ for some integer $N \geq 1$ and a bounded Lipschitz function $F : H_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $H_N = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ and \mathbb{P}_N is the orthogonal projection onto H_N in H . We have the following result.

Theorem 2.1 (Multiplicative ergodic theorem). *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, for any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, there are positive numbers m and \varkappa , such that the following assertions hold.*

Existence and uniqueness. *The semigroup \mathfrak{P}_t^{V*} admits a unique eigenvector $\mu_V \in \mathcal{P}_m(H)$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda_V > 0$. Moreover, the semigroup \mathfrak{P}_t^V admits a unique positive eigenvector $h_V \in C_w(H)$ corresponding to λ_V normalised by the condition $\langle h_V, \mu_V \rangle = 1$.*

Convergence. *For any $f \in C_m(H)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_w(H)$, and $R > 0$, we have*

$$\lambda_V^{-t} \mathfrak{P}_t^V f \rightarrow \langle f, \mu_V \rangle h_V \quad \text{in } C_b(B_H(R)) \cap L^1(H, \mu_V) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\lambda_V^{-t} \mathfrak{P}_t^{V*} \nu \rightarrow \langle h_V, \nu \rangle \mu_V \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_+(H) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, for any $M > 0$, the limit

$$\lambda_V^{-t} \mathbb{E}_\nu \left\{ f(u_t) \exp \left(\int_0^t V(u_s) ds \right) \right\} \rightarrow \langle f, \mu_V \rangle \langle h_V, \nu \rangle, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.5)$$

holds uniformly in $\nu \in \Lambda(\varkappa, M)$.

For any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the existence of limit (2.1) is established by taking $f = \mathbf{1}$ in (2.3). Then the case of an arbitrary $V \in C_b(H)$ is obtained by using a simple approximation argument. To show Property 2, we first prove that any equilibrium state σ_V is a stationary measure for the following Markov semigroup:

$$\mathcal{S}_t^V g = \lambda_V^{-t} h_V^{-1} \mathfrak{P}_t^V (gh_V), \quad g \in C_b(H).$$

We then deduce the uniqueness of stationary measure for \mathcal{S}_t^V from limit (2.4), by showing that $\sigma_V(dv)$ is given by the formula $h_V(v) \mu_V(dv)$.

Thus Theorem 2.1 plays a central role in the proof of the LDP. It is established using an abstract result on large-time asymptotics of generalised Markov semigroups established in Theorem 4.1 in [13] in the discrete-time case and generalised to continuous-time in Theorem 7.4 in [20]. The main ingredients are the following four properties: *uniform irreducibility*, *exponential tightness*, *growth conditions*, and *uniform Feller property*. The verification of the last property is the most technical part of the proof. In the case of the stochastic NS system there are important differences compared to the stochastic damped NLW equations studied in [20]. Here we prove a global LDP, so we need to study the large-time asymptotics of the Feynman–Kac semigroup without any restriction on the smallness of the potential. In particular, this leads to some technical difficulties in the proof of the uniform Feller property. To establish this property, we construct coupling processes using a new auxiliary equation, which allows to have an appropriate Foias–Prodi estimate for the trajectories. Let us also mention that the multiplicative ergodic theorem proved in our case is of slightly more general form and works for a more general class of initial measures.

3 Generalisation

In this section, we discuss what happens when the noise does not affect all the Fourier modes, but it is still sufficiently non-degenerate to imply exponential

mixing as in Theorem 0.1. More precisely, we assume that the noise perturbs directly all the determining modes. In this case it is possible to derive an LDP of level 1 type, provided that the system is considered on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 . We have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 < \infty$ and $h \in H$. Then there is an integer $N = N(\nu, \mathfrak{B}_0, \|h\|) \geq 1$ such that if (0.5) holds, then for any non-constant function $\psi \in \mathcal{V}$, there is a number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\psi) > 0$ and a convex function $I^\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for any³ $u \in H$ and any open subset O of the interval $(\langle \psi, \mu \rangle - \varepsilon, \langle \psi, \mu \rangle + \varepsilon)$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}_u \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \psi(u_s) ds \in O \right\} = - \inf_{\alpha \in O} I^\psi(\alpha). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, this limit is uniform with respect to u in a bounded set of H .

The proof of this result is obtained by extending the techniques introduced in [20]. According to a local version of the Gärtner–Ellis theorem, limit (3.1) will be established if we show that for some $\beta_0 > 0$ the following limit exists

$$Q(\beta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}_u \exp \left(\int_0^t \beta \psi(u_s) ds \right), \quad |\beta| < \beta_0$$

and it is differentiable in β on $(-\beta_0, \beta_0)$. Both properties are derived from a multiplicative ergodic theorem similar to Theorem 2.1. Under condition (0.5), we are able to prove limits (2.3)-(2.5) provided that the potential V has a sufficiently small oscillation. We work on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 since in the case of a degenerate noise the uniform irreducibility property is deduced from an approximate controllability result established in [1].

The global LDP under condition (0.5) or, more generally, for a highly degenerate noise (i.e., when the integer N does not depend on the parameters ν, \mathfrak{B}_0 , and $\|h\|$) is an interesting open problem.

References

- [1] A. A. Agrachev and A. V. Sarychev. Navier–Stokes equations: controllability by means of low modes forcing. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 7(1):108–152, 2005.
- [2] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, and R. Lefevere. Exponential mixing of the 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 230(1):87–132, 2002.
- [3] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

³ H is the space of divergence-free square-integrable vector fields with zero mean on \mathbb{T}^2 .

- [4] J.-D. Deuschel and D. W. Stroock. *Large Deviations*. Academic Press, Boston, 1989.
- [5] M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan. Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time, I–II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28:1–47, 279–301, 1975.
- [6] W. E, J. C. Mattingly, and Ya. Sinai. Gibbsian dynamics and ergodicity for the stochastically forced Navier–Stokes equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 224(1):83–106, 2001.
- [7] F. Flandoli and B. Maslowski. Ergodicity of the 2D Navier–Stokes equation under random perturbations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 172(1):119–141, 1995.
- [8] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell. *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*. Springer, New York–Berlin, 1984.
- [9] M. Gourcy. A large deviation principle for 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes equation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 117(7):904–927, 2007.
- [10] M. Gourcy. Large deviation principle of occupation measure for a stochastic Burgers equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 43(4):375–408, 2007.
- [11] M. Hairer and J. C. Mattingly. Ergodicity of the 2D Navier–Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(3):993–1032, 2006.
- [12] V. Jakšić, V. Nersesyan, C.-A. Pillet, and A. Shirikyan. Large deviations from a stationary measure for a class of dissipative PDEs with random kicks. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(12):2108–2143, 2015.
- [13] V. Jakšić, V. Nersesyan, C.-A. Pillet, and A. Shirikyan. Large deviations and mixing for dissipative PDE’s with unbounded random kicks. Accepted for publication in *Nonlinearity*, 2017.
- [14] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [15] Y. Kifer. Large deviations in dynamical systems and stochastic processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 321(2):505–524, 1990.
- [16] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. Stochastic dissipative PDEs and Gibbs measures. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 213(2):291–330, 2000.
- [17] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. Coupling approach to white-forced nonlinear PDEs. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 81(6):567–602, 2002.
- [18] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. *Mathematics of Two-Dimensional Turbulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

- [19] J.-L. Lions. *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*. Dunod, 1969.
- [20] D. Martirosyan and V. Nersesyan. Local large deviations principle for occupation measures of the stochastic damped nonlinear wave equation. Accepted for publication in *Annales de l'IHP: PS*, 2017.
- [21] V. Nersesyan. Large deviations for the Navier–Stokes equations driven by a white-in-time noise. Preprint, 2017.
- [22] C. Odasso. Exponential mixing for stochastic PDEs: the non-additive case. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 140(1-2):41–82, 2008.
- [23] L. Wu. Large and moderate deviations and exponential convergence for stochastic damping Hamiltonian systems. *Stochastic Process.*, 91(2):205–238, 2001.