

Flow and airway pressure analysis for detecting ineffective effort during mechanical ventilation in neuromuscular patients

Cristina Ciorba, Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo, Maria-Antonia Quera Salva, Djillali Annane, David Orlikowski, Frédéric Lofaso, Hélène Prigent

► To cite this version:

Cristina Ciorba, Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo, Maria-Antonia Quera Salva, Djillali Annane, David Orlikowski, et al.. Flow and airway pressure analysis for detecting ineffective effort during mechanical ventilation in neuromuscular patients. Chronic Respiratory Disease, 2018, 16, pp.147997231879026. 10.1177/1479972318790267. hal-04547091

HAL Id: hal-04547091 https://hal.uvsq.fr/hal-04547091

Submitted on 15 Apr 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



Flow and airway pressure analysis for detecting ineffective effort during mechanical ventilation in neuromuscular patients

Chronic Respiratory Disease Volume 16: 1–9 © The Author(s) 2018 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1479972318790267 journals.sagepub.com/home/crd

SAGE

Cristina Ciorba¹, Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo², Maria-Antonia Quera Salva¹, Djillali Annane³, David Orlikowski^{3,4}, Frédéric Lofaso^{1,4} and Hélène Prigent^{1,4}

Abstract

Ineffective efforts (IEs) are among the most common types of patient–ventilator asynchrony. The objective of this study is to validate IE detection during expiration using pressure and flow signals, with respiratory effort detection by esophageal pressure (Pes) measurement as the reference, in patients with neuromuscular diseases (NMDs). We included 10 patients diagnosed with chronic respiratory failure related to NMD. Twenty-eight 5-minute recordings of daytime ventilation were studied for IE detection. Standard formulas were used to calculate sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of IE detection using pressure and flow signals compared to Pes measurement. Mean sensitivity and specificity of flow and pressure signal-based IE detection versus Pes measurement were 97.5% \pm 5.3% and 91.4% \pm 13.7%, respectively. NPV was 98.1% \pm 8.2% and PPV was 67.6% \pm 33.8%. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient indicated a moderately significant correlation between frequencies of IEs and controlled cycles ($\rho = 0.50$ and p = 0.01). Among respiratory cycles, 311 (11.2%) were false-positive IEs overall. Separating false-positive IEs according to their mechanisms, we observed premature cycling in 1.2% of cycles, delayed ventilator triggering in 0.1%, cardiac contraction in 9.2%, and upper airway instability during expiration in 0.3%. Using flow and pressure signals to detect IEs is a simple and rapid method that produces adequate data to support clinical decisions.

Keywords

Ineffective effort, patient-ventilator asynchrony, neuromuscular disease, esophageal pressure, flow, airway pressure

Date received: I June 2017; accepted: 13 June 2018

¹ Service Physiologie et Explorations-Fonctionnelles, INSERM CIC 1429, AP-HP, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches, France

² Service de Pneumologie et Réanimation Médicale (*Département "R3S"*), INSERM UMRS1158, AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Paris, France

³ Service de Réanimation médicale et unité de ventilation à domicile, INSERM CIC 1429, AP-HP, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches, France

⁴ INSERM U 1179, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Garches, France

Corresponding author:

Frédéric Lofaso, Service de Physiologie-Explorations Fonctionnelles, INSERM CIC 1429, AP-HP, Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches 92380, France.

Email: f.lofaso@aphp.fr

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Introduction

Optimal patient-ventilator synchrony is crucial to ensure maximal tolerance of noninvasive ventilation (NIV) and to avoid poor gas exchange, wasted effort, and sleep disruption.¹⁻⁶ Ineffective efforts (IEs) are the most frequent asynchronous events occurring during mechanical ventilation, with a prevalence of 45%in patients with neuromuscular diseases (NMDs).⁷ IE is defined as an inspiratory muscle activation that fails to trigger the ventilator. The trigger usually consists in an inspiratory pressure or flow threshold, depending on the characteristics of the inspiratory circuit (with or without an inspiratory valve).⁸ In the absence of leaks or obstructive events, IEs may result from dynamic hyperinflation, respiratory muscle weakness, reduced respiratory drive, or inadequate trigger threshold setting.^{3,5,6}

The frequency of IEs is important to determine in order to optimize ventilation. Esophageal pressure (Pes) monitoring is the reference standard for IE onset detection and IE quantification but is an invasive technique.^{9,10} In daily life conditions, IEs can be more simply detected as a decrease in airway pressure (Paw) with a simultaneous drop in expiratory flow during expiration, followed in some cases by inspiratory flow initiation that fails to trigger the ventilator or, if the expiration has ended, by an inspiratory flow increase that does not trigger the ventilator.¹¹ This noninvasive method can be easily used with the data provided by ventilators' software. However, whether it is sufficiently sensitive in patients with impaired inspiratory muscle function due to neuromuscular disease is unclear.

The aim of this study was to assess the performance of noninvasive IE detection based on pressure and flow signals, using Pes monitoring as the reference standard.

Methods

The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board. All participants provided written informed consent before the study.

Patients

During 18 months, we recruited consecutive patients with chronic respiratory failure due to neuromuscular disease who were referred to the home ventilation unit of the Raymond Poincaré University Hospital (Garches, France) for NIV initiation¹² and who underwent Pes monitoring.

Ventilator settings

Positive end-expiratory pressure was used only in patients with nocturnal obstructive events, and the level was determined by nocturnal titration using auto-titrating continuous positive Paw. Pressure support (PS) was determined during daytime trials. Inspiratory pressure was set initially at 8 cm H₂O then increased in steps of 1 cm H₂O to the level associated with maximal comfort. The inspiratory pressure delivery slope (pressurization rate) was set initially at the fastest level then progressively modified to obtain maximal comfort. The inspiratory trigger was set initially at the most sensitive value and lower sensitivities were then tested to maximize patient comfort. When possible, PS was started with an expiratory trigger at 25% of the maximal inspiratory flow, then increased in 5% steps to 50% of the maximal inspiratory flow to achieve maximal patient comfort. The backup rate was set at 10 breaths/minute and adjusted slightly below the spontaneous respiratory rate, so that the patient could choose to be passively ventilated.

The patients then tested different ventilators with the Pes probe (Gaeltec, Dunvegan, Isle of Skye, UK) in place to allow optimal adjustment of the ventilator settings.^{5,13} Further recordings were performed when the Pes change induced by cardiac contraction was less than 1/3 of the Pes swing related to the spontaneous breathing effort (Figure 1). The Pes probe included a portion inserted into the stomach, with a sensor that measured gastric pressure (Pga). Pes and Pga were used to compute transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi) as follows: Pdi = Pga - Pes. In contrast to Pes, Pdi measures the strength of the diaphragm contraction without the intercostal and accessory respiratory muscles contribution and the elastic chest-wall recoil.¹⁴ All 10 patients were naive to ventilation before study initiation.

Study protocol

Three ventilators (EliséeTM 150, ResMed, Paris, France; VS IntegraTM, ResMed, Savigny-le-Temple, France; and AiroxTM LegendairTM, COVIDIEN, Hampshire, UK) were used in PS mode with a nasal mask and expiratory valve circuit. Flow was measured using a Fleisch #2 pneumotachograph (Lausanne, Switzerland) situated between the mask and



Figure 1. Example of a preincluded patient who was excluded because the Pes change caused by cardiac contraction (d in the figure) was more than 1/3 of the Pes swing related to the spontaneous breathing effort (D in the figure). Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure.

ventilatory circuit. Mask pressure was measured using a differential pressure transducer (Validyne MP 45 \pm 100 cm H₂O, Northridge, California, USA). Pes and Pga were recorded using a catheter-mounted transducer system (Gaeltec, Dunvegan, Isle of Skye, UK). The position of the catheter was checked by performing an occlusion test. All signals were sampled at 128 Hz and passed to a computer using an analogicnumeric system (MP100, Biopac System, Goleta, California, USA). All measurements were recorded over 5 minutes, at the bedside.

Lung function tests and arterial blood gas analysis were performed. Maximal inspiratory and expiratory pressures were measured.

Detection of IEs

Flow and Paw signals were analyzed visually by the clinician with (invasive method) and without (noninvasive method) Pes to identify IEs. With the noninvasive method, IE was detected as a Paw decrease coinciding with a notch in the flow curve, with no inspiratory triggering of the ventilator. With the invasive method, IE was detected as a Pes swing with no inspiratory triggering of the ventilator.^{11,14,15}

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 15.0 Command Syntax Reference 2006 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables were

described as mean \pm SD and range and dichotomous or categorical variables as percentages. Pes measurement was the reference standard for diagnosing IE. A true-positive result was an IE detected using the noninvasive method and confirmed by the invasive method (Figure 2), a true-negative result was cycling of all breaths by the ventilator (Figure 2), a falsepositive result was an IE detected using the noninvasive method but not confirmed by the invasive method, and a false-negative result was an IE detected by the invasive method but missed by the noninvasive method. Standard formulas were used to calculate sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV). We used the nonparametric Spearman's correlation coefficient (p) to assess correlations between continuous variables. p Values <0.05 were considered to indicate significant differences.

Results

Patients

Ten patients were included. Among them, eight tested three ventilators while the remaining two (#9 and #5) tested two ventilators, yielding 28 recordings, each lasting 5 minutes. Table 1 reports the characteristics of the patients. The severity of the restrictive syndrome due to the neuromuscular disease ranged from moderate to severe (mean vital capacity (VC),



Figure 2. Example of an ineffective effort (black arrows) classified as a true-positive, followed by a breathing effort assisted by the ventilator (dashed arrows) classified as a true-negative. Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure.

Patient	Neuromuscular disease	Age (years)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m²)	VC (mL)	VC (%pred)	Plmax (cm H ₂ O)	PН	PaCO ₂ (kPa)	PaO ₂ (kPa)	Total CO ₂ (mmoL/L)
I	MDI	40	100	29.2	1790	34	32	7.37	6.6	9.2	29
2	MDI	49	84	30.9	2610	82	65	7.39	6.1	8.3	28
3	MDI	23	91	26.9	5100	90	141	7.35	7.1	13.7	28
4	MDI	29	68	24.7	3720	83	116	7.35	7.3	10.9	31
5	MDI	42	140	44.7	3540	74	66	7.38	6.4	7.I	27
6	MDI	66	75	21.9	2660	57	35	7.39	6.2	11.2	27
7	MDI	38	100	29.9	2690	51	40	7.38	6.6	10.7	29
8	ALS	54	77	25	2619	59	12	7.40	5.8	10.2	26
9	ALS	73	75	24.5	2060	50	25	7.41	5.1	10.3	23
10	DMD	18	60	22.7	610	16	22	7.39	6.3	14.9	28
	Mean	43	87	28	2740	60	55	7.38	6.3	10.6	27
	SD	18	23	7	1207	23	43	0.02	0.7	2.3	2

Table I. Baseline characteristics of the study population.

BMI: body mass index; VC: vital capacity; %pred: percentage of the predicted value; PImax: maximal inspiratory pressure; MDI: myotonic dystrophy type I; DMD: Duchenne muscular dystrophy; ALS: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; $PaCO_2$: arterial blood pressure of CO_2 ; PaO_2 : arterial blood pressure of O_2 .

58%; lowest VC, 16%). Most patients had diurnal hypercapnia.

moderate, statistically significant correlation between the percentage of IEs and the percentage of ventilatorcontrolled cycles ($\rho = 0.50$ and p = 0.01).

Detection of ineffective efforts (IEs)

Of the 2784 respiratory cycles studied, 246 (8.8%) presented IEs detected by Pes monitoring and 354 (12.7%) were controlled by the ventilator. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient indicated a

The noninvasive method identified 311 (11.2%) false-positive IEs overall. The mechanisms of false-positive IEs were distributed as follows: 1.6% premature cycling, 0.1% delayed ventilator triggering, 9.2% cardiac contraction, and 0.3% upper airway instability during expiration.

Patient	Ventilator	IPAP/EPAP (cm H ₂ O)	BR (bpm)	CC (%)	IE (%)	Leaks (L/minute)	Se	Sp
I	Elisée	12/4	12	43.8	27.6	2.6	97	96
I	Legendair	15/4	12	31.7	1.6	2.7	100	89
1	VS Integra	12/4	12	8.3	1.2	2.0	100	100
2	Elisée	14/3	12	51.0	24.8	6.8	97	94
2	Legendair	14/3	12	0.9	5.5	5.1	100	98
2	Integra	12/4	12	32.9	10.9	8.2	90	99
3	Elisée	15/4	10	62.3	8.7	2.5	100	87
3	Legendair	16/4	10	4.5	0	2.3	NA	82
3	VS Integra	15/4	10	1.2	0	3.3	NA	91
4	Elisée	15/4	12	8 .1	4.8	1.3	100	98
4	Legendair	16/4	12	23	0.9	2.1	100	97
4	VS Integra	14/4	12	10.7	0.9	2.8	100	97
5	Legendair	15/4		0	0	4.9	NA	100
5	VS Integra	13/4		5.6	24.4	7.8	100	100
6	Elisée	14/0	12	0	15.8	3.1	100	100
6	Legendair	13/0	12	6.3	6.3	1.5	80	99
6	VS Integra	13/0	12	5.6	11.2	0.7	88	94
7	Elisée	12/0	10	0	4.5	8.2	100	99
7	Legendair	13/0	10	0	2.4	3.2	100	100
7	VS Integra	12/0	10	0	0	0.3	NA	99
8	Elisée	18/4	14	0	15.9	4.4	93.3	94
8	Legendair	17/4	14	30.6	30.6	2.4	100	86
8	Integra	16/4	14	10.4	2.6	2.1	100	100
9	Legendair	12/6	12	8.5	1.7	10.1	100	100
9	VS Integra	12/6	12	3.5	1.2	3.6	100	100
10	Elisée	12/0	12	0	0	3.6	NA	55
10	Legendair	12/0	12	2.9	0.9	4.3	100	59
10	VS Integra	11/0	12	П	6	4.2	100	54

Table 2. Se and Sp of the pressure and flow signal-based method for detecting ineffective efforts in each patient with each ventilator.

IPAP: inspiratory positive airway pressure; EPAP: expiratory positive airway pressure; CC: controlled cycles; BR: backup rate; bpm: breaths per minute; Se: sensitivity; Sp: specificity.

We found a negative correlation between VC and the prevalence of IE ($\rho = -0.70$, p = 0.04). Thus, the number of IEs increased as VC declined. In contrast, maximal inspiratory pressure did not correlate with the prevalence of IEs.

IEs during the inspiratory phase

IEs during the inspiratory phase occurred in a single patient (#9), who had 20 such events, all of which were detected by both methods. No other inspiratory IEs were detected by the noninvasive method and the invasive method.

IEs during the expiratory phase

Table 2 reports the expiratory IEs in individual patients. Sensitivity could not be determined for one recording in four patients (#3, #5, #7, and #10) due to the absence of true positives. Mean sensitivity and

specificity of the noninvasive method versus the invasive method of IE detection were 97.5% \pm 5.3% and 91.4% \pm 13.7%, respectively. NPV was 98.1% \pm 8.2% and PPV was 67.6% \pm 33.8%.

Although the patients were ventilated with an expiratory valve circuit, we measured the leaks during the 5-minute test by comparing inspiratory and expiratory volumes. Mean leak volume was 3.8 \pm 2.5 L/minute (Table 2). Mean leak value did not correlate significantly with sensitivity or specificity of noninvasive expiratory IE detection ($\rho = 0.1$ and p > 0.05). No correlation was found between the number of expiratory IEs and the PS level.

Discussion

Using flow, Paw, Pes, and Pdi signals, we observed a mean IE index of 8.8%. Our results are similar and even greater than in other studies performed on awake



Figure 3. Patient #5: cardiac contractions affecting both airway pressure and flow signals were mistaken for ineffective efforts, leading to a high false-positive rate. Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure.

neuromuscular patients.^{5,6} One possible explanation is that all our patients were naive to ventilation upon inclusion. Another explanation could be that the majority of our patients presented a myotonic dystrophy type 1 (MD1). Marked respiratory effort instability is a well-known feature of $MD1^{16,17}$ and this population frequently presents irregular breathing during wakefulness.¹⁸ This may increase the difficulty in ventilator settings adaptations in order to avoid IE, especially the inspiratory trigger sensitivity and the inspiratory pressure level which are usually adjusted with the patient's usual and relatively stable inspiratory effort. This foreseeable difficulty of ventilator settings adaptations in this subpopulation due to the instability of the ventilator control, may partly explain the important nonadherence to NIV which in turn may jeopardize the survival of DM1 patients.¹⁹ Interestingly, in the past, studies exploring patient-ventilator interaction in different chronical pathologies did not find differences despite very different underlying diseases,^{6,20} or did not specify the diagnosis of the neuromuscular disorders.^{5,6} Nevertheless, even if IE could be more frequent in MD1 patients than in other neuromuscular disorders, these asynchronies have also been observed in other neuromuscular disorders such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy patients ²¹ and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients.²² Moreover, the standard method used in our study for the detection of IE (Pes) is a reliable method which is not influenced by the type of underlying disease.

In this observational study, a noninvasive method based on airway flow and pressure signals had good sensitivity and specificity for detecting IEs, compared to the reference standard based on Pes and Pdi. Sensitivity was above 90% except in two patients with MD1. These patients had neither severe inspiratory dysfunction nor severe leaks. However, as MD1 patients present respiratory effort instability,^{16–18} the lower sensitivity in these two patients is ascribable to a small number of inspiratory efforts detectable only by Pes measurement. Despite these false negatives, the overall good performance of the noninvasive method translated into a high NPV.

On the other hand, specificity was $\leq 90\%$ in four patients. Specificity was lowest in patient #10, in whom inspiratory efforts were readily confused with cardiac contractions affecting both Paw and airway flow signals, leading to a high false-positive rate (Figure 3). Such cardiac artifacts could be easily detected by combining flow and pressure signal monitoring with an electrocardiographic signal or a pulse oximeter plethysmograph waveform.

The other main source of false positives was premature cycling of the ventilator (patients #3, #4, and #8) ¹¹ which may affect both flow and pressure curves, therefore simulating a new inspiratory effort (Figure 4). In premature cycling, inspiratory flow termination by the ventilator occurs too early, before the end of the patient's inspiratory effort. The usual exponential expiratory flow curve is altered by the



Figure 4. Example of premature cycling of the ventilator (horizontal black arrows where *t* represents the insufflation duration and *T* the inspiratory effort duration) simulating ineffective efforts (false positives) when analyzing only flow and Paw without Pes. Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure



Figure 5. Example of abrupt changes in the expiratory flow signal due to upper airway obstruction during expiration simulating ineffective efforts and therefore leading to a high positive rate, whereas no respiratory effort was detected on the Pes signal. Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure.

persistence of the inspiratory effort producing a pattern that suggests an IE. It is important not to confuse premature cycling with an IE considering that premature cycling can be corrected by changes in inspiratory time or cycling settings, whereas ineffective efforts require different adjustments such as changes in level of positive end expiratory pressure.

Another source of false-positive IE detection, that decreased specificity, was upper airway obstruction

during expiration, as seen in patient #1. Upper airway obstruction can be detected during wakefulness and is correlated with sleep-disordered breathing.^{23,24} Intermittent upper airway obstruction has been documented during both inspiration and expiration in awake healthy individuals and patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, during muscular relaxation.²⁵ Our patients may have been at high risk for upper airway obstruction due to both the mechanical



Figure 6. Delayed triggering of the ventilator simulating ineffective efforts. The dashed line indicates zero flow. The solid vertical line and black arrow mark the beginning of an inspiratory effort, indicating delayed triggering of the ventilator. Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pes: esophageal pressure; Paw: airway pressure.

ventilation (which promotes muscle relaxation) and the neuromuscular disease. Mechanisms may include pharyngeal hypotonia or neuropathy, bulbar function impairment, macroglossia, and low pulmonary volumes.⁷ Furthermore, NIV can induce central hypocapnic events responsible for expiratory pharyngeal narrowing,^{5,7,26} as shown by the recording from patient #1 with the Legendair ventilator (Figure 5).

In patient #7, false-positive IEs were induced by delayed ventilator triggering (Figure 6), which was probably due to the high threshold at which the ventilator trigger was set.

Finally 82% of false-positive values were due to cardiac contractions found in a single patient (#10). These false positive values could be excluded by using an electrocardiographic signal or a pulse oximeter plethysmograph waveform which would improve the positive predictive value from 68% to 90%. In our study, 14% of false-positive IEs were due to premature cycling. This asynchrony has been described as a positive deflection just after the end of inspiratory phase of the flow waveform.²⁷ It can be identified visually by an experienced clinician. The false-positive values due to this asynchrony were excluded, and in the hypothetical case of the detection of IE by a very experienced clinician, we would have obtained a positive predictive value of 75%.

Leaks correlated neither with sensitivity nor with specificity of noninvasive IE detection. This may be

due to the small leak volumes or to the conditions of the recordings as, during wakefulness, patients are more able to control their upper airway muscles than during sleep.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that flow and pressure signals, which can be provided by the ventilator, can be used to efficiently detect IEs. This noninvasive method is easy to use, fast, and requires no additional parameters than those routinely recorded. Adding electrocardiographic recordings and/or pulse oximeter plethysmography would be helpful to differentiate IEs from cardiac artifacts. Our results suggest that IEs may correlate with the number of controlled cycles, which is rarely provided by ventilator software. Providing this information in addition to pressure and flow signals may improve the detection of periods at high risk for IEs.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by a grant from the French Ministry of Health (PHRC).

ORCID iD

Frédéric Lofaso D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5030-8175

References

- Crescimanno G, Canino M, and Marrone O. Asynchronies and sleep disruption in neuromuscular patients under home noninvasive ventilation. *Respir Med* 2012; 106(10): 1478–1485.
- Bertrand PM, Futier E, Coisel Y, et al. Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist vs pressure support ventilation for noninvasive ventilation during acute respiratory failure: a crossover physiologic study. *Chest* 2013; 143(1): 30–36.
- Carlucci A, Pisani L, Ceriana P, et al. Patient-ventilator asynchronies: may the respiratory mechanics play a role? *Crit Care* 2013; 17(2): R54.
- Crescimanno G, Greco F, and Marrone O. Monitoring noninvasive ventilation in neuromuscular patients: feasibility of unattended home polysomnography and reliability of sleep diaries. *Sleep Med* 2014; 15(3): 336–341.
- Fanfulla F, Delmastro M, Berardinelli A, et al. Effects of different ventilator settings on sleep and inspiratory effort in patients with neuromuscular disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172(5): 619–624.
- Fanfulla F, Taurino AE, Lupo ND, et al. Effect of sleep on patient/ventilator asynchrony in patients undergoing chronic non-invasive mechanical ventilation. *Respir Med* 2007; 101(8): 1702–1707.
- Aboussouan LS. Sleep-disordered Breathing in Neuromuscular Disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 191(9): 979–989.
- Sassoon C. Triggering of the ventilator in patient-ventilator interactions. *Respir Care* 2011; 56(1): 39–51.
- Gluck EH, Barkoviak MJ, Balk RA, et al. Medical effectiveness of esophageal balloon pressure manometry in weaning patients from mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 1995; 23(3): 504–509.
- Vandenbussche NL, Overeem S, van Dijk JP, et al. Assessment of respiratory effort during sleep: esophageal pressure versus noninvasive monitoring techniques. *Sleep Med Rev* 2015; 24: 28–36.
- 11. Thille AW, Rodriguez P, Cabello B, et al. Patient-ventilator asynchrony during assisted mechanical ventilation. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; 32(10): 1515–1522.
- Goldberg A. Clinical indications for noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in chronic respiratory failure due to restrictive lung disease, COPD, and nocturnal hypoventilation–a consensus conference report. *Chest* 1999; 116(2): 521–534.
- 13. Mayaud L, Lejaille M, Prigent H, et al. An open-source software for automatic calculation of respiratory

parameters based on esophageal pressure. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 2014; 192: 1–6.

- Mauri T, Yoshida T, Bellani G, et al. Esophageal and transpulmonary pressure in the clinical setting: meaning, usefulness and perspectives. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; 42(9): 1360–1373.
- Younes M, Brochard L, Grasso S, et al. A method for monitoring and improving patient: ventilator interaction. *Intensive Care Med* 2007; 33(8): 1337–1346.
- Poussel M, Thil C, Kaminsky P, et al. Lack of correlation between the ventilatory response to CO₂ and lung function impairment in myotonic dystrophy patients: evidence for a dysregulation at central level. *Neuromuscul Disord* 2015; 25(5): 403–408.
- Lopez-Esteban P and Peraita-Adrados R. Sleep and respiratory disorders in myotonic dystrophy of Steinert. *Neurologia* 2000; 15(1): 102–108.
- Calabrese P, Gryspeert N, Auriant I, et al. Postural breathing pattern changes in patients with myotonic dystrophy. *Respir Physiol* 2000; 122(1): 1–13.
- Boussaid G, Prigent H, Laforet P, et al. Effect and impact of mechanical ventilation in myotonic dystrophy type 1: a prospective cohort study. *Thorax*. Epub ahead of print 23 March 2018. Doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210610
- Ramsay M, Mandal S, Suh ES, et al. Parasternal electromyography to determine the relationship between patient-ventilator asynchrony and nocturnal gas exchange during home mechanical ventilation set-up. *Thorax* 2015; 70(10): 946–952.
- Nardi J, Prigent H, Garnier B, et al. Efficiency of invasive mechanical ventilation during sleep in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Sleep Med* 2012; 13(8): 1056–1065.
- Vrijsen B, Testelmans D, Belge C, et al. Patient-ventilator asynchrony, leaks and sleep in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2016; 17(5-6): 343–350.
- Liistro G, Veriter C, Dury M, et al. Expiratory flow limitation in awake sleep-disordered breathing subjects. *Eur Respir J* 1999; 14(1): 185–190.
- Tamisier R, Wuyam B, Nicolle I, et al. Awake flow limitation with negative expiratory pressure in sleep disordered breathing. *Sleep Med* 2005; 6(3): 205–213.
- Sanna A, Veriter C, and Stanescu D. Expiratory supraglottic obstruction during muscular relaxation. *Chest* 1995; 108(1): 143–149.
- Johnson KG and Johnson DC. Bilevel positive airway pressure worsens central apneas during sleep. *Chest* 2005; 128(4): 2141–2150.
- Dres M, Rittayamai N, and Brochard L. Monitoring patient-ventilator asynchrony. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2016; 22(3): 246–253.